

**T.C.**  
**DIŐIŐLERİ BAKANLIĐI**  
İkili Ekonomik İŐler Genel M¼d¼rl¼Đ¼



**Sayı** : 43143608-150.05-2016/10460524  
**Konu** : Xylella fastidiosa Bakterisi

26.01.2016

**GIDA TARIM VE HAYVANCILIK BAKANLIĐINA**  
**(Avrupa BirliĐi ve DıŐ İliŐkiler Genel M¼d¼rl¼Đ¼)**

**İlgi:** 31.12.2015 tarih ve 17053808-724.01.02/2015-7233 sayılı yazıları.

1. İlgi yazılarına konu İtalya'daki 'Xylella fastidiosa' bakterisiyle bulaŐık üretim alanlarına iliŐkin Roma ve Ottava B¼y¼kelçiliklerimizden alınan yanıtlar m¼teakip maddelerde sunulmaktadır.

2. Roma B¼y¼kelçiliĐimiz tarafından temas edilen İtalya Tarım ve Ormancılık BakanlıĐı yetkilileri, s¼z konusu bakteriye sadece ¼lkenin g¼neyindeki Puglia b¼lgesinde rastlandıĐını belirtmiŐler ve bahse konu bakteriden etkilenen üretim alanlarının esasen iki kategoriye ayırdıĐını, birincisinin bakteriyle bulaŐık, ikincisinin ise bakterinin varlıĐı nedeniyle sınırlandırılmıŐ alanlar olduĐu bilgisini iletmiŐlerdir. S¼z konusu alanların listesi anılan Bakanlıktan temin edilmekle aŐaĐıda sunulmaktadır.

BulaŐık Alanlar:

Brindisi  
Cellino San Marco  
Lecce (kentin tamamı)  
Oria  
San Pietro Vernotico  
Torchiarolo

Tampon ve G¼zetim Altındaki Alanlar:

Avetrana  
Erchie  
San Donaci  
San Pancrazio Salentino  
Francavilla Fontana  
Latiano  
Manduria  
Mesagne  
San Michele Salentino  
Torre Santa Susanna  
San Marzano Di San Giuseppe  
Sava

3. Ottova B¼y¼kelçiliĐimiz tarafından temas edilen Kanada Gıda Denetleme Ajansı (Canadian Food Inspection Agency - CFIA) tarafından hazırlanan not, Kanada K¼resel İŐler (DıŐiŐleri) BakanlıĐı aracılıĐıyla alınmakla ekte sunulmuŐtur.



Görüleceđi üzere notta, sözkonusu bakterinin Kanada'da çok nadir olarak görüldüğü, ekonomik deđer taşıyan zeytin, bađ, řeftali veya turunçgil gibi tarımsal üretim alanlarında bulunmadığı, geçmişte yalnızca 3 kez tespit edilmiş olduđu, bu tespitlerin orman alanlarında Karaađaç ve Akçaađaç türlerinde yapıldığı, bu 3 vakanın birer kez olmak üzere Ontario Eyaleti'nde Ulmus americana, Saskatchewan Eyaleti'nde Ulmus spp ve British Columbia Eyaleti'nde Acer macrophyllum ađaçları olduđu belirtilmektedir.

Bilgilerine saygılarımla arzederim.



M. Kemalettin ERUYGUR  
Bakan Adına  
Daire Bařkanı

## Canadian distribution status of *Xylella fastidiosa* Wells

In Canada, *Xylella fastidiosa* has only been reported from elm (*Ulmus* spp.) as Elm Leaf Scorch and from bigleaf maple (*Acer macrophyllum*) as Bigleaf Maple Scorch, and then only very rarely. The bacterium has not been reported from any other hosts, including economically important crops, such as grapevine, olive, citrus or peach (*Vitis*, *Olea*, *Citrus* and *Prunus* spp.), in Canada.

A general review of books on forest diseases of Canada failed to mention either the pathogen or the disease, suggesting that, while present, the incidence of the bacterium appears to be low in tree species. Infections, especially in *Ulmus* spp., are failing to cause significant damage, or are possibly being missed through mis-diagnosis, in part because *X. fastidiosa* is difficult to isolate and causes chronic symptoms such as reduced growth, leaf scorch and dieback that can be easily mistakenly attributed to other biotic or abiotic factors. In addition the severity of symptoms can fluctuate year to year (Sherald, *JL IN Shade Trees*, 1999). A 1997 study by Goodwin focussed on tissue sampling of elm leaves exhibiting symptoms of leaf scorching, since the occurrence of leaf scorching of elm was described by the authors as "widespread" in southern Ontario. However, of 114 symptomatic trees sampled (elm and other hosts), only 3 samples tested positive for *X. fastidiosa*. This suggests that visual identification of symptoms alone is not a good indicator of infection of *X. fastidiosa* (Goodwin and Zhang, 1997).

Although prolonged infection can result in mortality, it is difficult to attribute the death of a(n) (elm) tree to *X. fastidiosa* because other pests and diseases, such as Dutch Elm Disease (DED), can contribute to a tree's decline. Numerous sources suggest that *X. fastidiosa*-infected trees are very susceptible to Dutch Elm Disease (Gould, 2007; Goodwin and Zhang, 1997; Sinclair et al, 1987). Sinclair et al (1987) suggest that over 40% of cases of DED occurred in trees already affected by bacterial scorch (in the USA). DED is widespread in Canada, and as such, it is difficult to determine the impact of *X. fastidiosa* on elm populations in Canada, as trees may have succumbed to DED prior to being diagnosed with Elm Leaf Scorch.

In total, there are only three confirmed records of *X. fastidiosa* occurrence in Canada:

- Ontario (southern Ontario with limited distribution). Reported on *Ulmus americana* as *Xylella fastidiosa* (Goodwin, 1997)
- Saskatchewan. Single record. Reported on *Ulmus* spp. as *Xylella fastidiosa* (Northover, 2012)
- British Columbia. Reported on *Acer macrophyllum* as *Xylella fastidiosa* (Bigleaf Maple Scorch) (FIDS, 1992). Although the bacterium was detected, it had not been consistently correlated with scorch symptoms on bigleaf maple.
- Alberta. Single potential record. Reported on *Ulmus* spp. as *Xylemella fastidiosum* (Holley, 1993). See note below.

Note: The report of *Xylemella fastidiosum* from Alberta (Holley, 1993) from *Ulmus* spp. is questionable, as the scientific name appears to be illegitimate and for which no other records in the scientific literature (for the genus *Xylemella*) could be found. There is no additional information in this report indicating if the diagnosis was based solely on visual disease symptoms or lab diagnostic methods. However, given the bacterium's recent reported presence in the neighbouring province of Saskatchewan, the presence of *Xylella fastidiosa* (on elm) in Alberta is possible. However, at this time, this potential record from Alberta remains unconfirmed.

References:

Callan, B. 1992. Forest Insect and Disease Survey.

Goodwin, PH and S Zhang. 1997. Distribution of *Xylella fastidiosa* in southern Ontario as determined by polymerase chain reaction. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology*. 19(1):13-18.

Gould, AB and JH Lashomb. 2007. Bacterial leaf scorch (BLS) of shade trees. *The Plant Health Instructor*. DOI: 10.1094/PHI-I-2007-0403-07

Holley, JD. 1993. Diseases diagnosed on herbaceous and woody ornamentals. *Can. Plant Disease Survey*.73:45-50.

Northover, PR, and F Dokken-Bouchard. 2012. Diseases diagnosed on crop samples submitted in 2011 to the Saskatchewan Ministry of Agriculture Crop Protection Laboratory. *Canada Plant Disease Survey*. 92:26-30.

Sinclair, WA, HH Lyon and WT Johnson (eds.). 1987. *Diseases of Trees and Shrubs*. Cornell University Press. 512 pp.

Prepared by: The CFIA Science team

January 15, 2016